OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE: DELHI

No. 1397 /PRO (Delhi Police) Dated Delhi the 16.09. 2016.

To

The Editor,
Times of India,
New Delhi.

Subject: Rejoinder to the news-item i.e. **"Youth exposes self to girl at PG, cop refuses to book him"** published in 'The Times of India' dated 15.09.16.

Sir,

This is with reference to the above-mentioned byline news –item appeared in your esteemed newspaper on 15.09.2016. The headline and contents of the news-item are factually incorrect and misleading.

In this regard, it is stated that the actual facts of the matter are that the above incident took place on 08.09.2016 at about 4 pm. The owner of the PG beat the offender who was locked in the room of a woman and sent him out. However, no police complaint or call was made at that time. At about 11 pm, when the occupant of the room returned and came to know about the incident, she made a PCR call regarding quarrel at about 11:55 pm. The local police of PS Amar Colony reached the spot and enquired about the facts of the incident where the caller gave in writing that she does not want any action. Therefore, the call was filed by the police.

However, the above matter again came into the notice of local police on 14.09.16 through social media. Subsequently, the caller was again contacted and she was encouraged to make a written complaint regarding the above incident to the local police. After constant persuasion of the local police, she agreed and sent a complaint to the local police through e-mail on 15.09.16 on which a case vide FIR No. 567/16 dated 15.09.2016 u/s 452/354-D/509 IPC has been registered at PS Amar Colony and investigation has been taken up.

The facts mentioned in the news clipping regarding inaction on the part of local police are incorrect and baseless having no corroboration with the actual incident. The reporter of the news items did not verify the facts before publishing the same in the news paper. Following clarifications are hereby made in respect of the misrepresented facts:

1. The reporter has mentioned that PG owner called the beat constable who was known to him whereas the occupant of the room in PG herself made a PCR call of quarrel.

2. The reporter has mentioned that the woman was harassed by them till she gave in writing that she doesn't want to file a complaint whereas she herself gave in writing that she doesn't want any action on her call.

In view of the above stated facts, it is requested that this rejoinder may be published in order to put the matter in proper perspective with the same prominence for your esteemed readers.

Yours faithfully,

(**Rajan Bhagat**)
Public Relation Officer,
Delhi Police, Delhi.